



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,785	03/14/2007	Werner Seeger	VJP-1050-US	4411

35938 7590 08/19/2010
BioTechnology Law Group
12707 High Bluff Drive
Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92130-2037

EXAMINER

KAM, CHIH MIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1656

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/19/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING@BIOTECHNOLOGYLAWGROUP.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,785	SEEGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHIH-MIN KAM	1656	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 and 27-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 11, 14-23 and 27-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5, 10, 12 and 13 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/14/07; 9/24/07; 7/12/10</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of SEQ ID NO:25 and SEQ ID NO:12 as the elected species in the response to restriction requirement filed June 21, 2010 is acknowledged. Upon reconsideration, the requirement for species election is withdrawn. Therefore, claims 1-23 and 27-34 and all the sequences are examined.

Informalities

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

2. Since the instant application is a 371 of PCT/EP03/14542, filed 12/18/2003, the continuation data of the instant application should be indicated at page 1 of the specification.
3. While the specification recites nucleotide sequences SEQ ID NO:1-9 or 10 at pages 7-8, the specification appears to indicate that they are protein sequences, e.g., at page 7, lines 34-35; page 8, lines 1-2, 11-12, 27-28, and 30-31. Appropriate clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are drawn to a nucleic acid molecule. As written, the claim does not explicitly indicate the hand of man. Insertion of "isolated" in connection with nucleic acid molecule is suggested. See MPEP § 2105.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1656

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 14, 15, 18-23 and 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a fusion protein comprising a specific mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator, wherein the surfactant protein is surfactant protein B (SP-B); a pharmaceutical composition comprising the fusion protein; a nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide encoding the fusion protein; a vector or host cell comprising the nucleic acid molecule; a method for producing the fusion protein by expressing the nucleic acid molecule; and a method of treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering the fusion protein, does not reasonably provide enablement for a fusion protein comprising a mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator, where the surfactant protein is not specified; a pharmaceutical composition comprising the fusion protein; a nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide encoding the fusion protein; a vector or host cell comprising the nucleic acid molecule; a method for producing the fusion protein by expressing the nucleic acid molecule; and a method of preventing or treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering the fusion protein. The specification does not enable a person

Art Unit: 1656

skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 14, 15, 18-23 and 27-34 are directed to a fusion protein comprising a mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator; a nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide encoding the fusion protein; a vector or host cell comprising the nucleic acid molecule; a method for producing the fusion protein by expressing the nucleic acid molecule; and a method of preventing or treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering the fusion protein. The specification, however, only discloses cursory conclusions without data supporting the findings, which states that the present invention relates to a fusion protein comprising a mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator (page 5). There are no indicia that the present application enables the full scope in view of the claimed fusion protein and its method of making and using the fusion protein as discussed in the stated rejection. The present application does not provide sufficient teachings to enable the full scope of the claims. The factors considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d at 731,737, 8 USPQ2d at 1400,1404 (Fed. Cir.1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the breadth of the claims, the absence or presence of working examples, the state of the prior art and relative skill of those in the art, the predictability

Art Unit: 1656

or unpredictability of the art, the nature of the art, the amount of direction or guidance presented, and the amount of experimentation necessary.

(1). The breadth of the claims:

The breadth of the claims is broad and encompasses unspecified variants regarding the surfactant proteins in the fusion proteins, and the methods of preventing or treating inflammatory and interstitial lung diseases using the fusion proteins, which are not adequately described or demonstrated in the specification.

(2). The absence or presence of working examples:

The specification shows that cloning of SPUC1A cDNA; expression of SPUC1A in CHO cells, and functional analysis of SPUC1A using chromogenic substrates or fibrin gel autography (Examples 1-4), where SPUC1A is a fusion protein of SP-B_{ΔC} N-terminally fused to LMW-u-PA. However, the specification does not show a fusion protein comprising a different surfactant protein such as SP-A, SP-C or SP-D and a plasminogen activator, and the use of various fusion proteins in preventing or treating inflammatory and interstitial lung diseases.

(3). The state of the prior art and relative skill of those in the art:

The related art (e.g., Rupport *et al.*, Thrombosis and Hemostasis 89, 53-64 (2003)) discloses a hybrid molecule is obtained by chemical cross-linking of the mature surfactant protein SP-B and B chain of urokinase plasminogen activator, where the hybrid molecule retains the biophysical activity as compared to native SP-B, is about 2-3 fold more effective in lysis of surfactant-containing fibrin clots and is about 3-5 fold more resistant toward PAI-1 than native u-PA, thus resulting in chimeric enzymes with enhanced substrate specificity (page 3, lines 20-31 of the specification). However, the art does not teach the use or make of a hybrid molecule or a

Art Unit: 1656

fusion protein comprising any other surfactant protein and a plasminogen activator. Thus, the specification needs to provide specific guidance on the use or making of a fusion protein comprising a plasminogen activator and any surfactant protein other than SP-B, to be considered enabling for the claimed method associated with the variants. Furthermore, regarding prevention of a lung disease, if the disease does not occur, it is not clear how to monitor the disease. Thus, the specification does not provide sufficient teachings in the prevention of inflammatory and interstitial lung diseases using the fusion protein.

(4). Predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The claims are directed to a fusion protein comprising a mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator; and a method of preventing or treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering the fusion protein. While the specification shows the make and functional analysis of SPUC1A (i.e., a fusion protein of SP-B_{ΔC} N-terminally fused to LMW-u-PA), the specification does not demonstrate the use/make of a fusion protein comprising a plasminogen activator and any surfactant protein other than SP-B (a hydrophobic protein), thus the effect of a fusion protein containing a different surfactant protein, such as SP-A or SP-D (a hydrophilic proteins) in the treatment of inflammatory lung disease is unpredictable.

(5). The amount of direction or guidance presented and the quantity of experimentation necessary:

The claims are directed to a fusion protein comprising a mammalian surfactant protein precursor lacking its C-terminal propeptide fused at its C-terminus to the N-terminus of a

Art Unit: 1656

plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator; and a method of preventing or treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering the fusion protein. While the specification discloses the make and functional analysis of SPUC1A (i.e., a fusion protein of SP-B_{ΔC} N-terminally fused to LMW-u-PA), it does not disclose the use/make of a fusion protein comprising a plasminogen activator and any surfactant protein other than SP-B (a hydrophobic protein), where SP-A or SP-D is a hydrophilic protein. Thus, the effect of a fusion protein containing a surfactant protein such as SP-A or SP-D in the treatment of an inflammatory lung disease is not predictable. Since the specification does not provide sufficient teachings on the make/use of a fusion protein comprising a plasminogen activator and any surfactant protein, it is necessary to carry out undue experimentation to identify a fusion protein that is effective in treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease.

(6). Nature of the Invention

The scope of the claims encompasses a method of preventing or treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease by administering a fusion protein comprising a surfactant protein and a plasminogen activator, but the specification does not provide the sufficient teachings on the make/use of a fusion protein comprising a plasminogen activator and a surfactant protein. Thus, the disclosure is not enabling for the reasons discussed above.

In summary, the scope of the claim is broad, the working example does not demonstrate the claimed variants and associated methods, the effects of fusion proteins in treating various inflammatory lung diseases are unpredictable, and the teachings in the specification are limited,

Art Unit: 1656

therefore, it is necessary to carry out undue experimentation to identify a fusion protein that is effective in treating an inflammatory and interstitial lung disease.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 22 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. Claims 22 and 32 are indefinite because the claims merely recite the step of introducing a vector comprising a nucleic acid molecule encoding the fusion protein into a host cell without indicating expressing the fusion protein, thus, it is not clear how the fusion protein is produced in the process.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 5, 10, 12 and 13 are objected to because the claims are dependent from a rejected claim.

Conclusion

9. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 14-23 and 27-34 are rejected; and claims 5, 10, 12 and 13 are objected to.

Art of Record

Rupport *et al.* (Thrombosis and Hemostasis 89, 53-64 (2003) teach a hybrid molecule is obtained by chemical cross-linking of a mammalian pulmonary surfactant protein such as the

Art Unit: 1656

mature surfactant protein SP-B and a mammalian plasminogen activator such as B chain of urokinase plasminogen activator. However, Rupport *et al.* do not disclose a fusion protein comprising a surfactant protein precursor N-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator or comprising a mature surfactant protein N-terminally or C-terminally fused to a plasminogen activator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached at 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chih-Min Kam/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

CMK

August 13, 2010